Fiscal Estimate - 2013 Session

Original U	Jpdated Correc	cted	Supplemental						
LRB Number 13-2883/1	Introductio	n Number S	SB-383						
Description Issuance of wild turkey hunting licenses to qualified resident and nonresident landowners									
Fiscal Effect									
State: No State Fiscal Effect Indeterminate Increase Existing Appropriations Decrease Existing Appropriations Create New Appropriations	☐ Increase Existing Revenues ☐ Decrease Existing Revenues		s - May be possible in agency's budget \tilde{\to}\No ts						
Local: No Local Government Costs Indeterminate 1. Increase Costs Permissive Mandatory 2. Decrease Costs Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Permissive Mandatory Decrease Revenue Permissive Mandatory Districts 5. Types of Local Government Units Affected Towns Village Counties Others School Districts									
Fund Sources Affected GPR FED PRO	PRS SEG SEGS	ffected Ch. 20 App	oropriations						
Agency/Prepared By	Authorized Signate	ure	Date						
DNR/ Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794	Joe Polasek (608) 2	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 11/13/2013							

Fiscal Estimate Narratives DNR 11/13/2013

LRB Number 13-2883/1	Introduction Number SB-	383 Estimate Type	Original					
Description								
Issuance of wild turkey hunting licenses to qualified resident and nonresident landowners								

Assumptions Used in Arriving at Fiscal Estimate

Currently, s. 29.164, Wis. stats., requires the Department to issue wild turkey hunting licenses and tags for zones and seasons according to a cumulative preference system when the number of applications for tags in a turkey hunting zone or turkey season time period exceeds the number of turkey tags available. Preference is given to qualified resident landowners who own at least 50 acres in one parcel in an established wild turkey hunting zone. This bill proposes to change the definition of qualified resident landowner to a person who owns at least 50 acreas in the same wild turkey hunting zone and removing the requirement the 50 acres of land be contained within a single parcel.

A. Bureau of Customer and Outreach Services

Under this bill, programming changes would be required to the online license sales system and Automated License Issuance System (ALIS). A change to the definition of turkey landowner preference would necessitate changes to the online spring and fall turkey applications. In addition, the ALIS turkey application receipt with certifying landowner statement would require changes as would the ALIS terminal touchscreen containing landowner qualifications. The Department estimates a one-time programming cost of \$21,000 to implement the proposed change to the definition of landowner preference.

B. Bureau of Law Enforcement:

The landowner preference system currently allows DNR law enforcement to reasonably investigate and verify appropriate preference filings. Under this bill, there may be an increased cost to maintain current capabilities with regards to compliance monitoring and investigation of landowner preference fraud. Although anticipated costs are indeterminate, verifying parcel ownership where multiple parcels contribute to the minimum acreage would require additional staff time and investigative resources.

Long-Range Fiscal Implications

Fiscal Estimate Worksheet - 2013 Session

Detailed Estimate of Annual Fiscal Effect

X	Original		Updated		Corrected		Supplemental
LRE	3 Number	13-2883	/1	Intr	oduction Nu	umber	SB-383
	c ription ance of wild tur	key hunting li	icenses to qu	alified resi	dent and nonres	sident lando	owners
	e-time Costs ualized fiscal e		Impacts for	State and/	or Local Gover	rnment (do	not include in
	-time programn owner preferen		\$21,000 to in	nplement th	ne proposed cha	ange to the	definition of
II. Ar	nnualized Cos	its:			Annualized	Fiscal Imp	act on funds from:
					Increased Co	sts	Decreased Costs
A. St	tate Costs by	Category					
	ate Operations		ıd Fringes			\$	\$
<u> </u>	TE Position Ch						
	ate Operations		.S				
\vdash	cal Assistance						
	ds to Individual						
Ш	TOTAL State	Costs by Ca	tegory			\$	\$
	tate Costs by	Source of Fu	unds			······	
├─	PR						
FE							
	RO/PRS				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
SE	EG/SEG-S						-
	tate Revenues nues (e.g., tax						ase state
					Increased F	Rev	Decreased Rev
GF	PR Taxes					\$	\$
GF	PR Earned						
FE			·				
	RO/PRS						
SE	EG/SEG-S						
Ш	TOTAL State					\$	\$
			NET ANNUA	LIZED FIS	SCAL IMPACT	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		 			<u>St</u>	<u>ate</u>	<u>Local</u>
	CHANGE IN C					\$	\$
NET	CHANGE IN F	REVENUE				\$	\$
Ager	ncy/Prepared	Ву	<u> </u>	Authorize	d Signature	·	Date
DNR	R/ Joe Polasek	(608) 266-27	94	Joe Polasek (608) 266-2794 11/13/2013			